

## DCComments

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 March 2022 16:18  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** DCComments [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Tree Preservation Order for the Land rear of 52 - 68 Boyne Road, Barley Lane, Hastings, TN35  
**Attachments:** Trees from Google Satellite over Plan.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Re: Tree Preservation Order for the Land rear of 52 - 68 Boyne Road, Barley Lane, Hastings, TN35, **The Clive Vale Local Wildlife Site H3**

Dear Sir/Madam,

This is a submission to request that you create a Tree Preservation Order for trees on the area of land known as 'the Land rear of 52 - 68 Boyne Road, Barley Lane, Hastings, TN35' and as The Clive Vale Local Wildlife Site H3.

This is land that forms the entrance to **The Clive Vale Local Wildlife Site H3**.

This land was cleared prior to both Shaping Hastings and the recently refused PIP application HS/PIP/22/00163. Despite the current destruction, the site appears recoverable, especially in terms of its connectivity value and should be protected as a core component of the Borough's ecological network.

The land consists of woodland which is under threat from the owner who has the intention of clearing the woodland every year.

I attach a map of trees that will need to be protected at the site.

Please note that although these trees have been felled they are still alive.

Clive Vale Local Wildlife Site (LWS) and has been since the site was designated in 1998. The citation notes that the LWS forms a very prominent and stunning wildlife corridor and the variety of smaller habitats within a mature woodland stream corridor provides for an important wildlife area. SWT notes that this part of the LWS is also important due to the connectivity it creates from the wider LWS to Hastings Country Park LWS and then the SSSI/SAC.

Please note that [REDACTED] has seen photos and a video of the site and can verify that a TPO would be most suitable for their conservation.

I copy in both the Sussex Wildlife Trust and Environmental Law Foundation who will be interested in this submission.

Yours sincerely,  
[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by BullGuard antivirus protection.

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 April 2022 10:35  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Planning  
**Subject:** Land rear of 52 - 68 Boyne Road

Thank you for your communication [REDACTED] regarding a tpo request at land rear of 52-68 Boyne Rd.

I am familiar with the site, and I'm aware that the trees recently removed were unexceptional in terms of their landscape value. The now cleared trees had been planted randomly across the site, this was a collection of low amenity trees rather than established woodland.

Had the trees still been standing it is questionable whether their collective or individual quality would have merited preservation. The remaining parts of the removed trees amount only to stumps and roots, neither make a positive contribution in terms of amenity to the local landscape.

In conclusion and considering the facts outlined, at present the council would not consider placing a tree preservation order on what remains of the now removed trees.

Best regards.

[REDACTED]

