1 Introduction

1.1 Faber Maunsell was appointed by Hastings Borough Council on 27th April 2007 to undertake a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment of Hastings Borough. This study will inform the preparation of the Local Development Framework and assist the Local Planning Authority in the allocation of sites for future development and general decision-making.

1.2 The scope of the study was described in a Brief issued by the Borough Council and dated March 2007. Maps showing the location of Hastings Borough within East Sussex and the main urban areas within the Borough are shown as Figures 1 and 2 respectively.

Figure 1: Location of Hastings Borough within East Sussex

Figure 2: Overview of Hastings Borough
The agreed methodology, designed to comply with the recently published Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25) and its Guide Companion, divided the study into two phases. The first phase of the study was to consist of the collection and evaluation of all available data and information relevant to the strategic assessment of flood risk within the Council. The second phase involved the preparation of a strategic flood risk assessment (SFRA) for the whole of Hastings Borough.

The second phase of the SFRA consisted of two levels. Level 1 involved an assessment of the flood risk throughout the Borough and level 2 focused on particular sites of interest to Hastings Borough Council.

The SFRA has been carried out in close collaboration with officers of the Council and key stakeholders such as the Environment Agency, Southern Water, etc. The results of this assessment are described in this Report and should allow the Local Authority to undertake the Sequential and Exception Test required as part of the planning process.

A series of recommendations have also been made to ensure the SFRA is regularly and efficiently updated so it can be confidently used by the Council in the future.
2  User Guide

2.1 The purpose of this study is to allow the application of the Sequential and Exception Test by the Local Planning Authority and by doing so introduce the concept of flood risk at the early stages of the planning process.

2.2 In order to ensure an adequate understanding of the elements involved in this process, a summary of the essential concepts is presented below.

The Sequential Test

2.3 The Sequential Test is applied by Local Planning Authorities to establish land suitable for development (residential, commercial, industrial, etc) to be included in their Local Development Documents and to demonstrate that there are no alternative locations in areas with a lower probability of flooding that would be suitable for the type of development or land use proposed.

2.4 Any new development should be directed to Flood Zone 1 (low risk) wherever possible, and then sequentially to Flood Zones 2 and 3, and to the areas of least flood risk within Flood Zones 2 and 3, as identified by the Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA) (see Table D.1 and Table D.2 of PPS25, included as Appendix I).

2.5 The Local Planning Authority must demonstrate that an array of potential development sites has been considered in conjunction with the Flood Zone information from the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and that they have applied the Sequential Test, and where necessary, the Exception Test, in the site allocation process. In cases where development cannot be fully met through the provision of site allocations, Local Planning Authorities are allowed to make a realistic allowance for windfall development if robust evidence has been provided, based on windfall delivery rates and expected future trends.

2.6 The Sequential Test should also be used by Local Planning Authorities to resolve planning applications where Local Development Documents policies have not applied the Sequential Test when allocating sites. In these cases it is the responsibility of the developer to collect the relevant evidence for their site to allow the Local Planning Authority’s planning officer to carry out the Sequential Test.

2.7 A schematic showing the train of thought when applying the Sequential Test as well as the maps to be referred to during this process is provided in Figure 3. A step by step guide to the application of the Sequential Test is provided below.

1) Identify the site. (location, size, existing and proposed use)

2) Is the potential allocation site in an area at low risk of flooding? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to the following maps:

- 002 Flood Zones: Establish the location of the site within the various Flood Zones. In this map flood zones 2 and 3 (EA) refer to tidal flooding without taking into consideration flood defences whereas the other flood zone identified refer to fluvial flooding. No formal river defences exist in the area. If a site is located outside the flood zone areas shown in the Map, it can be assumed that is located within flood zone 1 (low risk).

- 004 Flooding History: Establish if the site has been affected by flooding from any source in the past or if it is located in an area with recognised flooding problems.

- 005 Potential Flood Risk: Determine if the site has the potential to be affected by factors such as existing infrastructure or local conditions. Additionally, establish if the site is within a buffer zone.

- 006 Groundwater Risk: Establish if the site is likely to be affected by springs or is located in a dry bed and therefore prone to occasional flooding.
When carrying out the sequential test, the hierarchy in terms of determining the level of flood risk is as follows:

- Flood Zones – most important factor
- Flooding History
- Groundwater Risk
- Potential Flood Risk

It is important to emphasise the importance of applying common sense when establishing the level of flood risk at any particular location as each site is different.

3) Is flood risk at the site likely to be affected by climate change? This question can be answered by referring to the following maps:
   - 011 Climate Change Outline: Establish if the site is likely to be affected by extreme flooding

4) Is there an alternative site not sensitive to climate change? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to the Local Plan and be aware of the existence of potential sites outside the Climate Change Outline shown in Map 11.

5) Is there an alternative potential location in an area at low risk of flooding? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to the Local Plan and be aware of the existence of potential sites within Flood Zone 1 as shown in Map 2.

6) Is this alternative site less suitable taking into account other planning issues? This should be assessed by a planner with good local knowledge and referring to the Local Plan and the following map:
   - 008 Special Considerations: Shows the areas with international, national and local designations that are likely to be subject to special planning conditions.

7) Is the potential allocation site in an area of medium risk of flooding? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to the following maps:
   - 002 Flood Zones: Establish the location of the site within the various Flood Zones. In this map flood zones 2 and 3 (EA) refer to tidal flooding without taking into consideration flood defences whereas the other flood zone identified refer to fluvial flooding. No formal river defences exist in the area. For a site to be located in an area of medium risk of flooding, it must lie within flood zone 2. It must be noted that when a site falls within more than one flood zone, the higher risk zone in terms of flooding should be assumed for the site. The hierarchy in terms of flood zones is as follows:
     - Zone 3b (functional floodplain) – higher flood risk area
     - Zone 3a (high probability)
     - Zone 2 (medium risk)
     - Zone 1 (low risk) – lower flood risk area
   - 004 Flooding History: Establish if the site has been affected by flooding from any source in the past or if it is located in an area with recognised flooding problems.
   - 005 Potential Flood Risk: Determine if the site has the potential to be affected by factors such as existing infrastructure or local conditions. Additionally, establish if the site is within a buffer zone.
   - 006 Groundwater Risk: Establish if the site is likely to be affected by springs or is located in a dry bed and therefore prone to occasional flooding.

When carrying out the sequential test, the hierarchy in terms of determining the level of flood risk is as follows:

- Flood Zones – most important factor
- Flooding History
- Groundwater Risk
- Potential Flood Risk

It is important to emphasise the importance of applying common sense when establishing the level of flood risk at any particular location as each site is different.

8) Is there an alternative potential allocation site in Flood Zone 2? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to the Local Plan and be aware of the existence of potential sites within Flood Zone 2 as shown in Map 2.

9) Does the site lie in the functional floodplain, Zone 3b? To answer this question is necessary to refer to the following maps:

- 002 Flood Zones: Establish the location of the site within the various Flood Zones. In this map flood zones 2 and 3 (EA) refer to tidal flooding without taking into consideration flood defences whereas the other flood zone identified refer to fluvial flooding. No formal river defences exist in the area. When a site falls in Flood Zone 3 (EA), it must be assumed that this correspond to the functional floodplain, Flood Zone 3b unless additional information is put forward to prove otherwise. It must be noted that when a site falls within more than one flood zone, the higher risk zone in terms of flooding should be assumed for the site. The hierarchy in terms of flood zones is as follows:
  - Zone 3b (functional floodplain) – higher flood risk area
  - Zone 3a (high probability)
  - Zone 2 (medium risk)
  - Zone 1 (low risk) – lower flood risk area

10) Is there an alternative site in Zone 3a? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to the Local Plan and be aware of the existence of potential sites within Flood Zone 3a as shown in Map 2.

11) Will the proposed development type(s) be acceptable in this Flood Zone? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to Flood Risk Vulnerability section in Appendix C for guidance.

12) Are parts a) and b) of the Exception Test satisfied? To answer this question it is necessary to apply planning judgement and refer to relevant planning documents.

13) Are there other potential allocation sites in the same Flood Risk Zone? To answer this question it is necessary to refer to the relevant planning documents.

14) Is the proposed development site likely to be safe and appropriate? This should be decided based on the site specific flood risk assessment and other planning considerations. Liaison with the EA will be necessary to determine if the FRA addresses all flood risk issues and delivers a safe and compatible development.
Figure 3: Application of the Sequential Test

(SEE OVERLEAF)
1. Identify Site
   - Is the potential allocation site in an area at low risk of flooding?
     - Yes: Refer to maps 002, 004, 005 and 006
     - No: Is flood risk at the site likely to be affected by climate change effects?
       - Yes: Is there an alternative site not sensitive to climate change?
         - Yes: Consider alternative site
         - No: Refer to map 011
       - No: Is there an alternative potential allocation site in an area at low risk of flooding?
         - Yes: Refer to maps 002, 004, 005 and 006
         - No: Is the potential allocation site in an area of medium risk of flooding?
           - Yes: Refer to maps 002, 004, 005 and 006
           - No: Is there an alternative potential allocation site in Flood Zone 2?
             - Yes: Refer to map 002
             - No: Does the site lie in the Functional Floodplain (Zone 3b)?
               - Yes: Is there an alternative site in Zone 3c?
                 - Yes: Refer to map 002
                 - No: Consider original site
               - No: Consider alternative site
             - No: Is the proposed development type(s) acceptable in this Flood Zone?
               - Yes: Refer to Appendix C for guidance
               - No: Revise proposed development type or find another allocation site
               - Possibly: Are parts a) and b) of the Exception Test satisfied?
                 - Yes: Local authority to decide based on Relevant Planning Documents
                 - No: Consider other sites. Select best site(s) based on flood risk and other material planning considerations
                   Refer to map 009 and Relevant Planning Documents
               - No: Are there other potential allocation sites in the same Flood Risk Zone?
                 - Yes: Local authority to decide based on Relevant Planning Documents
                 - No: Consider site details and flood risk management requirements. Is the proposed development site likely to be safe and appropriate?
                   Local authority to decide based on specific flood risk assessment and liaison with EA
                   - Yes: Proposed development is likely to be acceptable
                   - No: Local authority to decide based on site and flood risk management requirements.

# Refers to the step by step guide to the application of the Sequential Test, as described in Chapter 2 of the Report.
2.8 The checklist used by the Environment Agency to facilitate the demonstration of the application of the Sequential Test to planning applications is provided as Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer Yes/No</th>
<th>Sequential Test – passed or failed?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is this application consistent in scale, development type and location, with a site allocation that has already been sequentially tested and included in the Local Development Document (LDD)?</td>
<td>If yes, state which allocation and the location in the development plan. If the answer is ‘No’ go to Question 2.</td>
<td>If the answer is Yes the Sequential Test has been passed – FINISH HERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the application site fall within an area identified for ‘windfall’ development that has been agreed as part of the LDD in association with a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)?</td>
<td>If yes, state the location in the LDD. If the answer is ‘No’ or there are no such areas identified in the LDD, go to Question 3.</td>
<td>If the answer is Yes the Sequential Test has been passed – FINISH HERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does the LDD or background documents contain reasonably available, alternative site allocations that are situated in a lower flood risk zone?</td>
<td>If yes, state which allocation(s) and the location in the development plan. If the answer is ‘No’ go to Question 4</td>
<td>If the answer is Yes the Sequential Test has been passed – FINISH HERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Does the development plan or background documents contain reasonably available, alternative site allocations that are within the same flood zone and subject to a lower probability of flooding from all sources as detailed by the SFRA?</td>
<td>If yes, state which allocation(s) and the location in the development plan. If the answer is No to Questions 3 and 4 the Sequential Test has been passed. If the answer is Yes to Question 4, the Sequential Test has been failed – FINISH HERE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source Guide Companion to PPS25)

The Exception Test

2.9 Application of the Sequential Test should ensure that more vulnerable property types, such as residential housing will not be allocated to areas at high risk of flooding. In exceptional situations, there may be well-founded reasons for a development type which is not entirely compatible with the level of flood risk at a particular site to nevertheless be considered. In these circumstances, it will be necessary for the Local Planning Authority or developer to demonstrate that the site qualifies for development in the manner proposed by passing all elements of the Exception Test.

2.10 The Exception Test should only be applied following application of the Sequential Test. There are three rigorous conditions, all of which must be fulfilled before the Exception Test can be passed. These conditions are as follows:

- a) "it must be demonstrated that the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, informed by a SFRA where one has been prepared. If the Local Development Document (LDD has reached the ‘submission’ stage (see Figure 4.1 of PPS12: Local Development Frameworks) the benefits of the development should contribute to the Core Strategy’s Sustainability Appraisal (SA));"
- b) the development must be on developable (as defined in PPS3: Housing) previously-developed land or, if it is not on previously-developed land, that there are no reasonable alternative sites on developable previously-developed land; and
c) a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment must demonstrate that the development will be
safe, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk
overall”.

2.11 When considering part a) of the test, if a planning application fails to score favourably against
the aims and objectives of the Sustainability Appraisal, the Local Planning Authority should
consider whether the use of planning conditions and/or Section 106 Agreements of the Town
and Country Planning Act, 1990, could make it do so. Where this is not possible the Exception
Test has not been satisfied and planning permission should be refused.

2.12 In the absence of a Sustainability Appraisal, the developer/Local Planning Authority will have to
provide a sound justification explaining in detail how the planning application provides wider
sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk. Local Planning Authorities may
consider the use of a sustainability checklist for this purpose.

2.13 Assistance on the consideration of part b) of the test can be found within Planning Policy
Statement 3: Housing.

2.14 With regard to part c) it is the responsibility of the developer to propose a comprehensive flood
risk management strategy for the site in question, covering:

- the design of any flood defence infrastructure;
- access;
- operation and maintenance;
- resident awareness;
- flood warning; and
- evacuation procedures and funding arrangements.

2.15 The breach analysis work carried out in Bulverhythe and West Marina should assist the Council
when undertaking the exception test in those areas, as it provides a good indication of the
sectors at low, medium and high risk of flooding and the danger posed by a potential breach.

Presentation of Results

2.16 A GIS mapping system that allows layer superimposition was adopted to present the results.
This system enables the analysis of all the factors influencing flood risk and facilitates the
application of the Sequential and Exception tests.

2.17 The various maps produced as part of this study have been formatted so information is not
overlooked or missed due to the superimposition of layers or resolution. When opening
individual tables to create new maps, special attention is required to ensure all layers are fully
visible and nothing is ignored.

2.18 The software package used for this purpose was MapInfo which is also used by the
Environment Agency. This program is however compatible with most GIS standard packages
which can also be used to access the data.

2.19 A more detailed explanation of the GIS layers used can be found in the GIS Layers and Maps
section of this report.

Optimising the Use of the SFRA

2.20 To ensure this document is used to its full potential, the following table has been prepared.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Stage within Planning Process</th>
<th>Refer to</th>
<th>Mapping</th>
<th>To be carried out by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application of the Sequential Test</td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Schematic shown as Figure 3 of this report and process described in Section 2.7</td>
<td>Maps reference shown in schematic (Figure 3)</td>
<td>Local Planning Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of Exception Test</td>
<td>If necessary and only after Sequential Test has been applied</td>
<td>The Exception Test section in Chapter 2 of this report</td>
<td>Refer to all maps (as necessary)</td>
<td>Local Planning Authority or Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Specific Flood Risk Assessments (FRA)</td>
<td>As early as possible</td>
<td>Appendix C for requirements based on Flood Zones</td>
<td>Refer to:</td>
<td>Competent drainage and flood risk professionals appointed by the Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDS)</td>
<td>To accompany FRAs</td>
<td>Appendix C for background information</td>
<td>Refer to:</td>
<td>Competent drainage and flood risk professionals appointed by the Developer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Area Overview

3.1 Hastings Borough is located within East Sussex on the south east coast of England. The Borough has an area of 3.076ha, the majority of which is used for residential purposes.

3.2 The main settlements within the Borough include Hastings town centre, the Old Town, Ore Village, St Helens and St Leonards.

3.3 Hastings Borough has been identified as a key area in the development of the Sussex coastal towns (South East England Regional Assembly, 2004).

3.4 The vast majority of flooding problems in the Borough cannot be attributed to a single source but are largely as a result of a combination of factors such as surge, tide locking, surface water runoff, groundwater, high river flows, deficiencies/problems with the sewer network, and overland flow.

3.5 The two sources likely to have the greatest impacts in terms of extent and severity of flooding are the sea and the watercourses. The impact of flooding from these sources on their own outweighs that from any other flood risk source within the Borough.

3.6 Recent flood alleviation works undertaken by the Environment Agency in the Bulverhythe area of Hastings have significantly reduced the risk of tidal flooding in the area. However, other parts of the Hastings coastline are still affected by tidal flooding and/or overtopping.

3.7 The Combe Haven and associated streams also have the potential to cause extensive flooding to locations within the Borough. However, this is less frequent and mostly confined to unoccupied areas (floodplains), as shown in Map 002. As a consequence of the relatively minor effect on people and property, no formal river defences have been built within Hastings Borough.

3.8 The Environment Agency has identified a fluvial and a tidal warning area in the Borough. These areas are located within the Combe Haven Catchment and generally cover West Marina and Bulverhythe, to the western end of the Borough. Please refer to map 007 for an indication of the extent of flood warning areas in the Borough.

3.9 The impact of flooding from other sources such as groundwater, sewers, surface water runoff is limited in its extent even though its local impact, in terms of the depth and velocity of flooding, may be comparable with that from rivers and the sea.

3.10 There are a significant number of springs in the area. The areas where springs are frequent as recognised by the EA are shown in map 006. This map also shows locations of dry beds as identified by the Council.

3.11 There are seven recognised reservoirs within the Borough. Four of these are in active operation. These are shown in map 005.

3.12 No canals exist within the Borough boundaries or its immediate vicinity.

3.13 There are areas where significant flooding is the result of a combination of various factors. This is the case at Bulverhythe where groundwater, surface water runoff and tide locking combine to produce flooding.

3.14 The risk of fluvial and tidal flooding is increasing as a result of global warming and climate change. PPS25 makes allowances for the likely effects of climate change. These allowances have been taken into consideration throughout this Study.

3.15 The only area to have a formal flood plan within the Borough is Bulverhythe. This document sets out the procedure to be followed during times of flooding and divides the Bulverhythe area into 3 distinctive flood sectors as shown in map 007.
4 Data Collection

4.1 A Schedule of the data and information considered to be required for the strategic flood risk assessment was prepared at the beginning of the study. The various items of data were grouped into categories and the anticipated source of the information identified.

4.2 As the study progressed, the Schedule was amended to reflect the findings of the data collection process. The final version of the Schedule is given in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Schedule of Data and Information for the preparation of the SFRA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 1 – Sources of Flooding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supplied by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued over....
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continuation...</th>
<th>Supplied by</th>
<th>Reliable / Complete</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Only brief details provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Bulverhythe Flood Plan only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HBC/SW</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Details not provided for all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 2 – Topography**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Supplied by</th>
<th>Reliable / Complete</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 OS Base Maps in electronic format on CD (coverage of the whole Borough)</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Selected LiDAR data</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Not all Borough covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 OS level data from major development plans</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Not all sites covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 OS level data and floodplain obstruction details from major highway schemes</td>
<td>ESCC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No OS level data available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 3 – Land Use Planning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Supplied by</th>
<th>Reliable / Complete</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Local Plan and/or Local Development Framework (if available).</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Plan (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Hastings Borough Council Urban Housing Capacity Assessment.</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Only Map provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Hastings Borough Council Key Issues Consultation Report Summary of Responses.</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>From website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a Details of Major Development Areas (Existing &amp; Proposed) in the Local Planning Authority area.</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Some info provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b County Structure Plan.</td>
<td>ESCC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>From website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c Regional or Sub-Regional Strategy Studies.</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>From website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Details of significant environmental sites in the Borough (NNRs, SSSIs, SIAs etc).</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued over...
Continued....

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Supplied by</th>
<th>Reliable / Complete</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Catchment Flood Management Plans (CFMPs) and Local Environmental Action Plans (LEAPs) or most recent equivalent.</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shoreline Management Plans (SMPs)</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Land Use Maps</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Local Drainage Studies</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**
- HBC: Hastings Borough Council
- EA: - Environment Agency
- SW: Southern Water
- BW: - British Waterways
- ESCC: East Sussex County Council
- RA: - Regional Assembly
- FM: Faber Maunsell Limited
- ES: Emergency Services

4.3 The appropriate authority (as listed in the "supplied by" column in Table 3) was contacted either by the Council or directly by Faber Maunsell with a request for the information outlined under the relevant item of data or information required. The initial request was usually followed by further correspondence or an exchange of telephone calls, faxes or e-mails. The completeness of the data ultimately obtained under each item is summarised and commented on in the third and fourth columns of Table 3. All the data and information received from the various sources was then collated and evaluated prior to incorporation into this study.
5 GIS Layers and Maps

5.1 A series of maps have been produced to accompany this study and facilitate the application of the Sequential and Exception Tests. A GIS based mapping system using the software package ‘MapInfo’ was implemented for this effect.

5.2 MapInfo uses a series of tables and allows superimposition of their contents to facilitate assessment of the information. This principle has been used to create the various maps necessary for this study as described in Table 5.

5.3 The tables containing information relevant to the study were provided by the various key stakeholders. A review of the information provided for mapping purposes is carried out below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Name</th>
<th>Supplied by</th>
<th>Reliable / Complete</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Areas Benefiting from Defences</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Combe Haven Catchment only. Slightly misaligned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Flood Warning Area</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Slightly Misaligned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Defences 200yr SoP</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Bulverhythe FAS are only formal flood defences in the Borough (EA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Zone 2 (EA)</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Hollington Stream misaligned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Zone 3 (EA)</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Hollington Stream misaligned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluvial Flood Warning Areas</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Slightly Misaligned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Aquifer High Soil Class</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Aquifer Intermediate Soil Class</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Aquifer Low Soil Class</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Aquifer High Soil Class</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Aquifer Intermediate Soil Class</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Aquifer Low Soil Class</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoirs in Operation</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springs</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Confirmed by HBC but likely to be incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Management Structures</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All recognised for the Borough included (EA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding Incidents under Storm Conditions (Emergency Services)</td>
<td>ES/HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Only last ten years provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change Outline Tidal 200yr_2115</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>From extreme water level estimation carried out by FM following EA data and PPS25 guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change Outline 1000yr+20%</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Based on Hydraulic modelling carried out by FM 1 in 1000yr+20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_Max Legend</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maximum Depth Legend (refer to Appendix A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Name</td>
<td>Supplied by</td>
<td>Reliable / Complete</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dur_Legend</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maximum Duration Legend (refer to Appendix A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escarpment Identification</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Only the most significant identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD2320 Legend</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Flood Risk Assessment Guidance for New Developments (refer to Appendix A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2004</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Model for Bexhill to Hastings Link Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2007</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Additional modelling for SFRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset Legend</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Rate of Onset Legend (refer to Appendix A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_fd2320</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Breach analysis results to FD2320 20yr RP in 2115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_200_66_fd2320</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>As previous for 200yr RP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_1000_68_fd2320</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>As previous for 1000yr RP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_V/d/dur/ons</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Breach analysis results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_d</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Breach analysis Depth results 20yr RP in 2115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_dur</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Breach analysis Duration results 20yr RP in 2115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_ons</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Breach analysis Onset results 20yr RP in 2115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_200_66_V/d/dur/ons</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>As above for 200yr RP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRA_brch3_2115_1000_68_V/d/dur/ons</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>As above for 1000yr RP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_Max Legend</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maximum Velocity Legend (refer to Appendix A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 2_Medium Risk</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Based on Hydraulic modelling carried out by FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3a_High Probability</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Based on Hydraulic modelling carried out by FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone 3b_Functional Floodplain</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Based on Hydraulic modelling carried out by FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor infiltration soil CLAY</td>
<td>FM/EA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Based on Geology Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient Monuments</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas with Overtopping Problems</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas with potential fluvial flooding</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Locations identified by HBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borough Boundary</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Development Options</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broomgrove Redevelopment Area</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Zone 5m</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Name</td>
<td>Supplied by</td>
<td>Reliable / Complete</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Zone 8m</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Zone 10m</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulverhythe Flood Sectors</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caravan Sites</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation Areas</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culverts</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Only partial details available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Valleys</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Ponds</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Only partial details available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding History</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Issues already resolved not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding in Town Centre</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Recent incidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Sites</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Development</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landfill Sites</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Nature Reserves</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Rivers</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space Areas</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary Watercourses</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordnance Survey Background</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordnance Survey Text</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Built Up Area</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Gardens</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing Fields</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentially Contaminated Land</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Roads</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Sites</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Buverhythe and West Marina Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping Stations</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoirs not Operating</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Some capacity details included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Areas</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Roads</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of Nature Conservation Importance</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of Specific Scientific Interest</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Water Infrastructure</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>No information provided by SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Manhole</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Network</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Areas</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various Development</td>
<td>HBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Part of Local Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood incidents SW</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Only last decade included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 The above tables were used to create a series of maps to assist the application of the Sequential and Exception Tests. A brief description of the maps produced and the various tables shown on each map is included below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Reference</th>
<th>Map Title</th>
<th>Tables Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>River Network</td>
<td>Borough Boundary*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Main Rivers*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ordinary Watercourses*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Culverts*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ordnance Survey Text*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ordnance Survey Background*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>Flood Zones</td>
<td>All base tables plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flood Zone 2 (EA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flood Zone 3 (EA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zone 2 Medium Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zone 3a High Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zone 3b Functional Floodplain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>Water Management Infrastructure</td>
<td>All base tables plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flood Defences 200yr SoP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Management Structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>Flooding History</td>
<td>All base tables plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas with Overtopping Problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flooding History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flooding in Town Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flooding Incidents SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flooding Incidents under Storm Conditions (Emergency Services)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>Potential Flood Risk</td>
<td>All base tables plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pumping Stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas with potential of fluvial flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sewer Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sewer Manholes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Southern Water Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reservoirs in Operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reservoirs not Operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Existing Ponds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Escarpment Identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buffer Zone 5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buffer Zone 8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buffer Zone 10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>Groundwater Risk</td>
<td>All base tables plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dry Valleys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Aquifer Low Soil Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Aquifer Intermediate Soil Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Aquifer High Soil Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major Aquifer Low Soil Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major Aquifer Intermediate Soil Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major Aquifer High Soil Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>Existing Emergency Plans</td>
<td>All base tables plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Flood Warning Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvial Flood Warning Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bulverhythe Flood Sectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>Special Considerations</td>
<td>All base tables plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ancient Monuments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caravan Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sites of Nature Conservation Importance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local Nature Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Site of Special Scientific Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks &amp; Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map Reference</td>
<td>Map Title</td>
<td>Tables Used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>Residual Flood Risk</td>
<td>All base tables plus Areas Benefiting from Defences Flood Zone 2 (EA) Flood Zone 3 (EA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>Areas Unsuitable for Drainage Infiltration</td>
<td>All base tables plus Landfill Site Potentially Contaminated Land Poor infiltration soil CLAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>Climate Change Outline</td>
<td>All base tables plus Climate Change Outline 1000yr+20% Climate Change Outline Tidal 200yr_2115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>Extent of Hydraulic Modelling</td>
<td>All base tables plus Model 2004 Model 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_20yr_FD2320</td>
<td>FD2320_Safe Access and Exit Analysis</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_fd2320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FD2320_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_200yr_FD2320</td>
<td>FD2320_Safe Access and Exit Analysis</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_200_66_fd2320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FD2320_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_1000yr_FD2320</td>
<td>FD2320_Safe Access and Exit Analysis</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_1000_68_fd2320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FD2320_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_20yr_MDepth</td>
<td>Maximum Depth of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_d_Max D_Max_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_200yr_MDepth</td>
<td>Maximum Depth of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_200_66_d_Max D_Max_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_1000yr_MDepth</td>
<td>Maximum Depth of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_1000_68_d_Max D_Max_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_20yr_MDuration</td>
<td>Duration of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_dur_Max Dur_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_200yr_MDuration</td>
<td>Duration of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_200_66_dur_Max Dur_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_1000yr_MDuration</td>
<td>Duration of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_1000_68_dur_Max Dur_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_20yr_ROnset</td>
<td>Onset of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_onset Onset_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_200yr_ROnset</td>
<td>Onset of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_200_66_onset Onset_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_1000yr_ROnset</td>
<td>Onset of Flooding</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_1000_68_onset Onset_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_20yr_MVelocity</td>
<td>Maximum Flood Velocities</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_20_64_V_Max V_Max_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_200yr_MVelocity</td>
<td>Maximum Flood Velocities</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_200_66_V_Max V_Max_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breach2_2115_1000yr_MVelocity</td>
<td>Maximum Flood Velocities</td>
<td>All base tables plus SFRA_brch3_2115_1000_68_V_Max V_Max_Legend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map Reference</td>
<td>Map Title</td>
<td>Tables Used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Development Options_FH</td>
<td>Broad Development Options – Flood History</td>
<td>All base tables plus Areas with Overtopping Problems Flooding History Flooding Incidents SW Flooding Incidents under Storm Conditions (Emergency Services) Broad Development Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Development Options_FR</td>
<td>Broad Development Options – Fluvial Risk</td>
<td>All base tables plus Zone 2 Medium Risk Zone 3a High Probability Zone 3b Functional Floodplain Climate Change Outline 1000yr+20% Broad Development Options Buffer Zone 5m Buffer Zone 8m Buffer Zone 10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Development Options_TR</td>
<td>Broad Development Options – Tidal Risk</td>
<td>All base tables plus Flood Zone 2 (EA) Flood Zone 3 (EA) Climate Change Outline Tidal 200yr_2115 Areas Benefiting from Defences Broad Development Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Sites_FH</td>
<td>Proposed Sites – Flood History</td>
<td>All base tables plus Areas with Overtopping Problems Flooding History Flooding Incidents SW Flooding Incidents under Storm Conditions (Emergency Services) Proposed Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Sites_FR</td>
<td>Proposed Sites – Fluvial Risk</td>
<td>All base tables plus Zone 2 Medium Risk Zone 3a High Probability Zone 3b Functional Floodplain Climate Change Outline 1000yr+20% Proposed Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Sites_TR</td>
<td>Proposed Sites – Tidal Risk</td>
<td>All base tables plus Flood Zone 2 (EA) Flood Zone 3 (EA) Climate Change Outline Tidal 200yr_2115 Areas Benefiting from Defences Proposed Sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = base table
Glossary

Annual exceedence probability
The estimated probability of a flood of given magnitude occurring or being exceeded in any year. Expressed as, for example, 1 in 100 year return period or 1 per cent.

Attenuation
Reduction of peak flow and increased duration of a flow event.

Catchment Flood Management Plans (CFMP)
A strategic planning tool through which the Environment Agency will seek to work with other key decision-makers within a river catchment to identify and agree policies for sustainable flood risk management.

Escarpment
The long continuous steep face of a ridge or mountain.

Floodplain
Area of land that borders a watercourse, an estuary or the sea, over which water flows in time of flood, or would flow but for the presence of flood defences where they exist.

Flood Action Group
Local community groups who aim to ensure that all authorities work closely together to manage flood risk and to deliver an action plan to minimise flood risk within their area.

Flood Defence
Flood defence infrastructure, such as flood walls and embankments, intended to protect an area against flooding to a specified standard of protection (SoP).

Flood Map
A map produced by the Environment Agency providing an indication of the likelihood of flooding within all areas of England and Wales, assuming there are no flood defences.

Flood Risk Assessment
A study to assess the risk to an area or site from flooding, now and in the future, and to assess the impact that any changes or development on the site or area will have on flood risk to the site and elsewhere. It may also identify, particularly at more local levels, how to manage those changes to ensure that flood risk is not increased. PPS25 differentiates between regional, sub-regional/strategic and site specific flood risk assessments.

Flood Risk Management Measure
Any measure which reduces flood risk such as flood defences.

Flood Risk Management Strategy
A long-term approach setting out the objectives and options for managing flood risk taking into account a broad range of technical, social, environmental and economic issues.

Flood Zone
A geographic area within which the flood risk is in a particular range as defined within PPS25.

Greenfield land
Land that has not been previously developed.

Local Development Framework
A non-statutory term used to describe a folder of documents which includes all the Local Planning Authority’s Local Development Documents (LDDs). The Local Development
Framework will also comprise the Statement of Community Involvement, the Local Development Scheme and the Annual Monitoring Report.

**Local Development Documents (LDDs)**  
All development plan documents which will form part of the statutory development plan, as well as supplementary planning documents which do not form part of the statutory development plan.

**Main River**  
A watercourse designated on a statutory map of Main Rivers, maintained by Defra, on which the Environment Agency has permissive powers to construct and maintain flood defences.

**Ordinary watercourse**  
All rivers, streams, ditches, drains, cuts, dykes, sluices, sewers (other than public sewer) and passages through which water flows which do not form part of a Main River. Local authorities and, where relevant, Internal Drainage Boards have similar permissive powers on ordinary watercourses, as the Environment Agency has on Main Rivers.

**Planning Policy Statement (PPS)**  
A statement of policy issued by central Government to replace Planning Policy Guidance notes. Advice on practical implementation is not included in Planning Policy Statements. Rights to carry out certain limited forms of development without the need to make an application for planning permission, as granted under the terms of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995.

**Previously-developed land (often referred to as brownfield land)**  
Land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated fixed surface infrastructure (PPS3 Annex B)

**Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS)**  
A broad development strategy for a region for a 15 to 20 year period prepared by the Regional Planning Body.

**Reservoir (large raised)**  
A reservoir that holds at least 25,000 cubic metres of water above natural ground level, as defined by the Reservoirs Act, 1975.

**Residual risk**  
The risk which remains after all risk avoidance, reduction and mitigation measures have been implemented.

**Resilience**  
Constructing the building in such a way that although flood water may enter the building, its impact is minimised, structural integrity is maintained, and repair, drying & cleaning are facilitated.

**Resistance**  
Constructing a building in such a way to prevent flood water entering the building or damaging its fabric. This has the same meaning as flood proof.

**Return Period**  
The long-term average period between events of a given magnitude which have the same annual exceedence probability of occurring.

**Run-off**  
The flow of water from an area caused by rainfall.

**Shoreline Management Plan (SMP)**  
A plan providing a large-scale assessment of the risk to people and to the developed, historic and natural environment associated with coastal processes. It presents a policy framework to manage these risks in a sustainable manner.
Standard of Protection
The design event or standard to which a building, asset or area is protected against flooding, generally expressed as an annual exceedence probability.

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDS)
A sequence of management practices and control structures, often referred to as SUDS, designed to drain water in a more sustainable manner than some conventional techniques. Typically these are used to attenuate run-off from development sites.

Sustainability Appraisal
An integral part of the plan-making process which seeks to appraise the economic, social and environmental effects of a plan in order to inform decision-making that aligns with sustainable development principles.

Vulnerability Classes
PPS25 provides a vulnerability classification to assess which uses of land maybe appropriate in each flood risk zone. These have been included in this report.

Washland
An area of the floodplain that is allowed to flood or is deliberately flooded by a river or stream for flood management purposes.

Windfall sites
Sites which become available for development unexpectedly and are therefore not included as allocated land in a planning authority’s development plan.

OTHER TERMS USED IN MAPPING

Areas Benefiting from Defences
The area that is protected by a defence or defence system against flooding from a 1% (1 in 100) annual probability fluvial event and 0.5% (1 in 200) annual probability tidal event, assuming all defences remain intact and function perfectly. This area does not have to contain any assets of high economic value to be included.

Breach2_YEAR_RP
Analysis of possible breach of flood defences in the year specified (YEAR) and under the return period specified (RP).

Dry River Bed
Paths followed by groundwater flows when the groundwater table is high.

Flood Defence with SoP of #yr RP
Indicates level of flood defence with a Standard of Protection described in terms of flood Return Period as identified in the National Flood and Coastal Defence Database.

Flood Risk Sectors
Areas recognised as at risk of flooding during significant events. These areas have their own emergency plans in times of flooding.

Flood Warning
If a flood warning is issued in an area, it means flooding is expected and will cause disruption.

Flood Zone 2
Land which has between a one in 100 and one in 1000 annual probability (chance) of river flooding (1% -0.1%); or between a one in 200 and 1 in 1000 annual probability (chance) of sea flooding (0.5%-0.1%)

Flood Zone 3
Land which has a greater than one in 100 annual probability (chance) of river flooding (>1%); or greater than one in 200 annual probability (chance) of sea flooding (>0.5%)
**Functional Floodplain**
Land where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood. Specifically, this land would flood with an annual probability of 1 in 20 (5%) or greater in any year.

**High Soil Class**
Indicates soils with High Leaching Potential (H) and includes the following sub-classification:
H1 – Soils which readily transmit liquid discharges because they are either shallow or susceptible to rapid flow directly to rock, gravel or groundwater.
H2 – Deep, permeable, coarse textured soils which readily transmit a wide range of pollutants because of rapid drainage and low attenuation potential.
H3 – Coarse textured or moderately shallow soils which readily transmit non-adsorbed pollutants and liquid discharges. Some ability to attenuate due to clay or organic matter contents.

**Intermediate Soil Class**
Indicates soils with Intermediate Leaching Potential (I) and includes the following sub-classification:
I1 – Soils which can possibly transmit a wide range of pollutants.
I2 – Soils which can possibly transmit non or weakly adsorbed pollutants and liquid discharges but are unlikely to transmit adsorbed pollutants.

**Low Soil Class**
Indicates soils with Low Leaching Potential (L). These are soils in which pollutants are unlikely to penetrate the soil layer because either water movement is largely horizontal or they have ability to attenuate diffuse pollutants. Generally have high clay content.

**Major Aquifer**
Highly Permeable formations with known or probable presence of significant fracturing. Highly productive and able to support large abstractions for public supply and other uses.

**Minor Aquifer**
Fractured or potentially fractured rocks which do not have a high primary permeability. Seldom produce large quantities of water for abstraction, but important for local supplies and supplying base flow to rivers.

**Model 2004**
Shows the extent of the MIKE11 model produced by Bullen Consultants (now incorporated into Faber Maunsell) in 2004 for the Bexhill to Hastings Link Road project.

**Model 2007**
Shows the extent of the MIKE11 models produced by Faber Maunsell in 2007 to complete the SFRA.

**SW Flood Events**
Location for which Southern Water has a recorded history of flooding (last decade).

**Water Management Structures**
Shows structures identified in the National Flood and Coastal Defence Database.
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