

I would like to say that while the council may have complied with legal requirements, I would like to question the idea that building more houses is growth; to me it creates debt and despair.

We have a situation where developers are like vultures circling above ready to pounce on the land that gets planning permission making capital out of other people , who just need a job and a home.

Land as no production cost yet one third of housing cost are the purchase of the land, at the Pilot field in 2012 I put the question to a small group of people including some Councillors, and asked if I were to plough the field and grow corn, what could I expect to earn from the crop . No one had a clue.

It would unlikely to earn more than £200 an acre; agricultural land may be worth £5-£6000 an acre, so £1,000 to rent the land for housing with no risk would be an offer one should accept.

The local people should through a referendum have a say on where and what kind of homes are built.

We have to accept we do need to build some houses with the growing population. While at the same time question if this population growth is due to open immigration, if this is the case, we should be considering making those counties better so the people don't want to leave, may be 40% of the proposed home could then be built in other counties.

I there for believe that the plans as they stand are not good for growth, are not justified and bad for your health and well-being.

Laurence Keeley.